



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,173	06/14/2001	William Kress Bodin	AUS920010583US1	8004
34533	7590	10/05/2006	EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL CORP (BLF)			PATEL, HARESH N	
c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP			ART UNIT	
P.O. BOX 1469			PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-1469			2154	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notice of Not Fully Responsive Reply for Applications Under Accelerated Examination</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/882,173	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BODIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Haresh Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2154	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**This application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program.**

The reply filed 14 July 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior **non-final Office action** because of the following reason(s):

1. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to add claims which would result in more than three (3) independent claims, or more than twenty (20) total claims.
2. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims not encompassed by the preexamination search.
3. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document and an updated accelerated examination support document was not submitted with the amendment.
4. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims that are directed to a nonelected invention or an invention other than previously claimed in the application.
5. ☐ The reply includes arguments or other items that are not limited to the rejections, objections, and requirements made, such as \_\_\_\_\_ on page \_\_\_\_\_ of the reply.
6. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): See Continuation Sheet.

The reply has not been entered. Since the above-identified reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is give a time period of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid **ABANDONMENT**. **NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME** under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted.

Continuation of 6. Other:

The applicant response, dated 7/14/2006 clearly states, "Claims 1-33 stand provisionally rejected for obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 09/882174, claims 10-15 of copending Application No. 09/881919, and claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 09/881917. In an effort to move the case forward, Applicants present the attached terminal disclaimers curing the rejections. The rejection should therefore be withdrawn".

However, the applicant response dated 7/14/2006 did not contain the applicant's mentioned attachment and is missing the terminal disclaimers, and the status letter mailed to the applicant, dated 7/14/2006, clearly indicates that the terminal disclaimers were not submitted, as only 8 pages were submitted on 7/14/2006 that do not include the terminal disclaimers.

The applicant has also not responded to the double patenting rejection regarding application 09/881915 of the previous office action.

*Hareesh Patel*